Emissions Averaging and Rate Based Trading Under the 111(d) GHG Rule

J. Michael Geers, P.E.

AAPCA Meeting

Raleigh, NC

August 28, 2024





Duke Energy At A Glance

8.4 Million

retail electric customers in six states

1.7 Million

natural gas customers in five states

More than

27,000

employees

Approximately

55 GW

of generating capacity

\$170 -\$180
Billion
capital plan

Duke Energy Electric and Natural Gas Service Territories (Including Regulated Renewable and Storage Facilities) Midwest Florida Carolinas Duke Energy Carolinas Duke Energy Indiana Duke Energy Florida Duke Energy Progress Duke Energy Ohio/Kentucky Overlapping Territory Regulated Renewable and Storage Facilities **Piedmont Natural Gas Territories** Solar Power Facility Solar + Storage Under Construction, Solar Power Facility Battery Storage Facility . Under Construction, Battery Storage Facility

Carolinas/Tennessee



Compliance Flexibilities Under the 111(d) Rule

- EPA identified emissions averaging and rate-based trading as compliance flexibilities that states can adopt in their plans
 - States may incorporate emission trading and averaging into their plans ... provided that the use of such flexibilities will result in an aggregate level of emission reduction that is equivalent to each source individually achieving its standard of performance.
- These are alternative methods for demonstrating compliance for existing units
- They will improve compliance, cost effectiveness, and increase flexibility
- Demonstrating that these alternatives are equivalent to BSER is mathematically straight forward
- Even greater benefits could be achieved when these tools used on an interstate basis than just within a single state and/or company

111(d) Process for Setting Emission Rate Limits

- Define a baseline (8 quarter-period from 5-yr. period prior to FR publish date)
- Medium-term coal units: 40% co-fire requirement results in 16% reduction
- Long-term coal units: 90% CO₂ capture results in 88.4% reduction
- Example:
 - $2,000 \text{ lb CO}_{2}/\text{MWh} \times 84\%$ Co-firing: $= 1,680 \text{ lb } CO_2/MWh$
 - $2,000 \text{ lb CO}_2/\text{MWh} \times 11.6\%$ 232 lb CO₂/MWh CCS:

Emissions Averaging Process

- Use the Title IV NOx averaging program as a template (25+ years experience)
- Multiple units are averaged together to demonstrate compliance in aggregate
 - ■Compliance is demonstrated annually on a rate basis (lb CO₂/MWh)
 - Average unit emission rates are weighted by generation
 - Emissions limits for the same units are also weighted by generation
 - The weighted average of the actual rates must be less than that of the limits
- Unlike Title IV, the 111(d) rule envisions that averaging plans are constructed for a single company and within a single state

Showing Compliance with Emissions Averaging

	CO ₂ Mass (tons)	Generation (MWhr)	Actual CO ₂ Rate (lb/MWhr)	CO ₂ Limit, lb/MWhr)	% Co-firing
Unit 1	3,200,000	4,000,000	1600	1680	50%
Unit 2	738,000	900,000	1640	1680	45%
Unit 3	750,000	750,000	<u>2000</u>	<u>1680</u>	0%
Totals	4,688,000	5,650,000	1659	1680	

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (R_{i} \times MW_{i})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} MW_{i}} \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (L_{i} \times MW_{i})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} MW_{i}}$$

Where:

- R_i = Actual annual average emission rate for unit i, lb/MWhr;
- L_i = State plan's annual emission rate limit for unit i, lb/MWhr;
- MW_i = Actual annual gross generation for unit i, MWhrs;
- n = Number of units in the plan.

Basis of a Rate Based Trading Program

- CO₂ Emission Reduction Credits (CRCs) are awarded to a unit when it operates below its state plan emission rate limit on an annual basis
 - The number of tons a unit operated below its limit can be quantified and converted into CRCs
 - CRCs become a tradable commodity that can transferred to another unit to offset a portion of its emissions
 - CRCs are not the same as allowances in a conventional "cap and trade program"
 - There is no specific limit to the number of CRCs that can be generated

Demonstrating Compliance Using CRCs



Earning CRCs
$$CE = \frac{(L - A) \times MW}{2000}$$



Using CRCs for Compliance

$$L \ge \frac{(E - CU) \times 2000}{MW}$$

Where:

- L = Annual emission rate limit for the unit, lb/MWhr;
- A = State plan's actual annual average emission rate for the unit, lb/MWhr;
- MW = Actual annual gross generation for the unit, MWhrs;
- CE= Number of CRCs (tons) earned by a unit in a year*;
- E = Actual annual CO₂ emissions from a unit (tons);
- CU = Number of CRCs (tons) used by a unit to demonstrate compliance that year.

A unit's emission rate, adjusted for CRCs consumed, must be less than its annual emission rate limit

^{*} Note: CRC awards are truncated to whole tons.

Advantages of Rate Based Trading

- Sources receiving CRCs based on how well they perform below their established limit allows them to plan with better certainty
- CRCs can be banked for later use or traded to units in other states and/or owned by other companies
- There is no creation of a state "allowance budget" or allocation process which simplifies the state plan requirements
- A CRC based on one ton CO₂ removed becomes a common currency among different sources and states and facilitates an interstate program
- Existing combustion turbines could eventually be incorporated in the program if included in a future rulemaking

Other Elements of a Trading Program

- Units would use averaging or trading to demonstrate compliance, but not both
- The program would use same emissions data currently reported to EPA
- For units that receive compliance extensions (e.g., RULOF), then that unit could only enter a trading program once that extension expires
- For units that receive alternative limits (e.g., RULOF), then unit may need to be excluded from participating in a trading program
- In the event an exceptional (reliability) event occurs, then the generation & and emissions during that event would be excluded from calculations

Creating an Interstate Trading Program

- Developing a trading program that integrates the actions of many individual states would deliver more benefits
- It is more efficient to develop one common program instead of each state developing its own system independently
- EPA's Clean Air & Power Division (CAPD) has extensive experience with administering trading programs, and it could support a 111(d) program
 - CAPD currently receives the emissions and other necessary information
 - It can establish accounts and has capability to calculate and award CRCs
 - With a common CRC commodity, the trading platform is well suited
 - Sources would use same designated representative as Acid Rain and other Federal trading programs



BUILDING A **SMARTER** ENERGY FUTURE ®