

# **US EPA Advances in PFAS Air Science**

Andrew J. R. GillespieEmma D'AmbroBen MurphyMarina EvichJohn WashingtonAriel WallaceJohn Offenberg

US EPA Office of Research and Development

Association of Air Pollution Control Agencies Fall Business Meeting, September 26, 2023



### **PFAS Air Measurement Methods**

- Canister sampling (OTM-50) with GC/MS analysis
- 30 target C1-C8 PFAS
- PIC/PIDs, industrial PFAS
- End of 2023?
- Method 0010 sampling with GC/MS analysis (OTM-55)
- FTOHs, select 8270 compounds and potential PICs
- Potential compounds of concern

	NONPOLAR VOLATILE (OTM50)	POLAR VOLATILE (HILIC, Purge and Trap)								
<b>ΥΟΙΑΤ</b>	NONPOLAR SEMI-VOLATILE (8270/FTOH, MeCl <sub>2</sub> )	POLAR SEMI-VOLATILE (OTM45)								

- Not a current focus
- Impinger sampling?
- LC analysis?
- Limited number of PFAS
  in this class
- OTM-45 sampling with LC/MS analysis
- 49 target PFAS (C4 and larger)
- Revision expected end of 2023

### **PFAS in Environmental Samples**

- NJDEP approached EPA requesting assistance to investigate PFAS distribution in the state
- NJDEP initially collected soil, vegetation & water samples
- Targeted PFAS & non-targeted mass-spectral analysis on all sample matrices



S FPA

### **Nontargeted Analytical Results**

- Initial non-targeted analyses identified a family of previously unknown PFAS in soil & water
- Family name: chloro perfluoropolyether carboxylates CIPFPECAs
- A subsequent literature search found the existence of these compounds had been identified in literature as "Solvay's product" (Wang et al. 2013. Environ. Int.)
- Solvay had a plant in NJ.





### **CIPFPECA Geographic Distribution**

- Based on literature ID as "Solvay's product" soil concentrations were plotted against distance from Solvay
- When slopes with distance plotted against congener mass, also a significant relationship
- So smaller congeners dispersed more widely than larger congeners
- When contoured, the contours graded to high values at samples closest to Solvay



SEPA

# Concentration and Distribution of CIPFPECAs and PFCAs in Surface Soils, Vegetation and Subsoils



- ≥2 CIPFPECA congeners detected in all matrices
- Decrease in concentration with distance to facility ; ~ 6-fold higher in vegetation than surface soils
- Signal dominated by smallest congeners represent 91% of the total signal in surface soil samples, 96% in vegetation samples, and 98% in subsoil samples



### PFAS in Wet Deposition: Sampling Began September 2020

National Atmospheric Deposition Program (NADP)

National Trends Network (NTN)

Current PFAS Sites (NADP NTN Locations) 10 sites across US

Open to partnering with other NADP sites/operators



### **PFAS in Wet Deposition**



€PA

9



Frequency (%) of PFAS Compound Detection

Offenberg 2023 (in prep)

# **SEPA**

### **Modeling PFAS Air Fate and Transport**

- High levels of PFAS have been found in water wells near production facilities
- Some of these wells are *upstream*and *across* the river
- NC Department of Environmental
  Quality measurements have confirmed
  deposition of GenX from air
- Influence of air emissions have been corroborated with qualitative plume dispersion modeling (NCDEQ). See also:
  Galloway et al., EST, 2020



NC Department of Environmental Quality

#### **Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) Model**

**CMAQ** *(see-mak)* is an active open-source development project of the U.S. EPA that consists of a suite of programs for conducting air quality model simulations.

For over two decades, EPA and states have used EPA's Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) Modeling System, a powerful computational tool for air quality management.

**CMAQ** brings together three kinds of models:

- **Meteorological models** to represent atmospheric and weather activities.
- Emission models to represent man-made and naturallyoccurring contributions to the atmosphere.
- An **air chemistry-transport model** to predict the atmospheric fate of air pollutants under varying conditions.



CMAQ Fact Sheet October 2022 (pdf)

S EPA



### **CMAQ-PFAS**

Domain:

- Eastern NC/ Northeast SC
- 1 km x 1 km
- Simulation details:
  - CMAQ v. 5.3.2 for 2018
  - WRF 4.0.1 (meteorology)
  - CB6 (chemistry module)
  - NEI 2014 (standard emissions, projected to 2018)
  - PFAS emissions from Chemours Fayetteville-Works
  - No PFAS oxidative chemistry included (yet!)



### **Facility-Specific PFAS Emissions**

- >109,393 kg emissions in 2017
- ≻53 individual PFAS compounds

Specific temporal profile of emissions based on production processes



~80% of emissions are from 3 small, volatile (c\* ~10<sup>10</sup> μg m<sup>3</sup>), insoluble (Henry's Law constants ~1E-3 – 10 M atm<sup>-1</sup>) compounds

#### **CMAQ-PFAS:** Domain-Wide Deposition



Maximum annual deposition, GenX: 1,550 ng m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>
 Maximum annual deposition, total PFAS: 245,000 ng m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>
 90<sup>th</sup> percentile is 2 orders of magnitude lower for both

SEPA

#### **CMAQ-PFAS: GenX Predicted vs. Measured**

#### CMAQ-PFAS predicted track reasonably well with NC DEQ sample measurements

CMAQ-CarbAcid shown as a 'bounding scenario' for GenX



Ĵ E P∆



#### **PFAS Analytic Tools**

Web-based geospatial analysis tool, presents publicly available information on PFAS presence



#### Data layers:

- Drinking Water: UCMR3 + State
- Chemical Manufacturer/Importer •
- **Environmental (Water Quality Portal)** •

€EPA

81 (8

Filters:

**EPA Region** 

TO

- **Discharge Monitoring** •
- Superfund sites •
- **Federal Facilities** •
- **Industry Sectors** •
- Spills •
- **Toxic Releases** •

Available online at echo.epa.gov => Analyze Trends

### Contact

#### Andrew J. R. Gillespie, Ph. D. Associate Director, Modeling

Center for Environmental Measurement and Modeling US EPA ORD Gillespie.andrew@epa.gov (614) 330-2226

# Disclaimer

The views expressed in this presentation are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the views or the policies of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

Any mention of trade names, manufacturers or products does not imply an endorsement by the United States Government or the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.



#### References

- Washington, J.W., C.G. Rosal, J.P. McCord, M.J. Strynar, A.B. Lindstrom, E.L. Bergman, S.M. Goodrow, H.K. Tadesse, A.N. Pilant, B.J. Washington. M.J. Davis, B.G. Stuart, T.M. Jenkins. 2020. Nontargeted mass-spectral detection of chloroperfluoropolyether carboxylates in New Jersey Soils. <u>Science</u>. 368. 1103-1107. Cited on issue cover.
- McCord, J.P., M.J.Strynar, J.W. Washington, E.L. Bergman, S.M. Goodrow. 2020. Emerging chlorinated polyfluorinated polyether compounds impacting the waters of southeastern New Jersey. Identified by use of nontargeted analysis. <u>Environmental Science &</u> <u>Technology Letters</u>. DOI: acs.estlett.0c00640. 7. 903-908.
- Evich, Marina G., Mary J. B. Davis, Eric J. Weber, Caroline T. Stevens, Brad Acrey, W. Matthew Henderson, Sandra M. Goodrow, Erica L. Bergman, John W. Washington. 2022. Environmental fate of Cl-PFPECAs: Predicting the formation of PFAS transformation products in New Jersey soils. <u>Environmental Science & Technology</u>. 56. 12. 7779–7788.
- Emma L. D'Ambro, Havala O. T. Pye, Jesse O. Bash, James Bowyer, Chris Allen, Christos Efstathiou, Robert C. Gilliam, Lara Reynolds, Kevin Talgo, and Benjamin N. Murphy. 2021. Characterizing the Air Emissions, Transport, and Deposition of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances from a Fluoropolymer Manufacturing Facility. *Environmental Science & Technology* 2021 55 (2), 862-870 DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.0c06580
- Emma L. D'Ambro, Benjamin N. Murphy, Jesse O. Bash, Robert C. Gilliam, Havala O.T. Pye, Predictions of PFAS regional-scale atmospheric deposition and ambient air exposure, Science of The Total Environment, Volume 902, 2023, 166256, ISSN 0048-9697, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.166256</u>.



#### **Additional Slides**

# **OTM-45 Target Compounds**

- Perfluorinated carboxylic acids (PFCAs)
- Perfluorinated sulfonic acids (PFSAs)
- Perfluorinated sulfonamides (FOSAs)
- Perfluorinated sulfonamide ethanols (FOSEs)
- Perfluorinated sulfonamido acetic acids (FOSAAs)
- Fluorotelomer sulfonates (FTSs)
- Fluorotelomer acrylates (FTACs)
- Fluorinated replacement chemicals



ОH

# **OTM-50 Target Compounds**

dioxanonane (E2)

Name	MDL 1X dilution		PQL 1X dilution		Name	MDL 1X dilution		PQL 1X dilution	
	ppbv	ug/m <sup>3</sup>	ppbv	ug/m <sup>3</sup>		ppbv	ug/m³	ppbv	ug/m
Tetrafluoromethane	1.02	3.73	49.19	179.95	Perfluorobutane	0.03	0.32	0.49	4.82
Hexafluoroethane	0.03	0.18	0.49	2.81	1H-Heptafluoropropane	0.03	0.19	0.49	3.48
Chlorotrifluoromethane	0.03	0.12	0.49	2.14	Octafluorocyclopentene	0.04	0.34	0.49	4.35
Fluoroform	0.05	0.15	0.49	1.43	Trichlorofluoromethane	0.03	0.18	0.49	2.78
Octafluoropropane	0.02	0.17	0.49	3.85	Dodecafluoro-n-pentane	0.03	0.4	0.49	5.9
Difluoromethane	0.05	0.12	0.49	1.06	1H-Nonafluorobutane	0.02	0.21	0.5	4.53
Pentafluoroethane	0.03	0.15	0.49	2.42	Tetradecafluorohexane	0.02	0.32	0.49	6.91
Octafluorocyclobutane	0.03	0.22	0.49	4.11	1H-Perfluoropentane	0.02	0.24	0.5	5.56
Fluoromethane	0.04	0.06	0.49	0.69	Heptafluoropropyl-1,2,2,2-	0.01	0.17	0.49	5.84
Tetrafluoroethylene	0.01	0.06	0.49	2.06	tetrafluoroetnyletner (E1)				
Hexafluoropropene	0.02	0.14	0.49	3.08	Hexadecafluoroheptane	0.01	0.22	0.49	7.9
1,1,1-trifluoroethane	0.05	0.18	0.49	1.71	1H-Perfluorohexane	0.01	0.18	0.49	6.53
Hexafluoropropene Oxide	0.1	0.72	0.49	3.4	Perfluorooctane	0.02	0.28	0.49	8.98
Chlorodifluoromethane	0.03	0.12	0.49	1.75	1H-Perfluoroheptane	0.01	0.21	0.49	7.6
1.1.1.2-tetrafluoroethane	0.04	0.17	0.49	2.07	1H-Perfluorooctane	0.01	0.19	0.49	8.63
_,_,_,	0.0.	0.27	0.10	,	2H-Perfluoro-5-methyl-3,6-	0.01	0.26	0.49	9.22

Slide courtesy of Ariel Wallace US EPA

### Predicted PFAS air concentrations by Inhalation Screening Level (ISL)



D'Ambro et al, 2023

Lower-mass PFAS dispersed from a stack point-source more widely than higher-mass. Dispersion as a function of mass (note mass might be a proxy for another directly functional cause):

